1 2	James D. Weakley, Esq. Bar No. 082853 Brande L. Gustafson, Esq. Bar No. 267130 WEAKLEY & ARENDT		
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	A Professional Corporation 5200 N. Palm Avenue, Suite 211 Fresno, California 93704 Telephone: (559) 221-5256 Facsimile: (559) 221-5262 Jim@walaw-fresno.com		
4			
5			
$\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$	Brande@walaw-fresno.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant Fresno Police Officer Rey Medeles		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION		
10			
11	DANIEL GUZMAN VELA	) CASE NO. 1:24-cv-00471-KES-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
13	VS.	) EXTEND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY ) AND STAY THE CIVIL ACTION	
14	FRESNO POLICE OFFICER REY	) DURING THE PENDING CRIMINAL ) ACTION	
15	MEDELES; FRESNO POLICE OFFICER	)	
16	GUSTAVO GUTIERREZ; THE CITY OF FRESNO; UNKNOWN LAW	) (Doc. 28)	
17	ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS,	) Complaint Filed: April 19, 2024 ) Trial Date: November 3, 2026	
18	Defendants.	)	
19		) )	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by	and between Plaintiff Daniel Guzman Vela	
21	("Plaintiff") and Defendants Former Fresno Police Officer Rey Medeles ("Medeles"), Fresno		
22	Police Officer Gustavo Gutierrez ("Gutierrez"), and the City of Fresno ("Defendants")		
23	(collectively "the Parties"), through their respective counsel of record, as follows:		
24	GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT		
25	The non-expert discovery deadling	ne is currently set for December 15, 2025.	
26	2. The Parties met and conferred as a result of the Ex Parte application filed by		
27	Plaintiff to extend the discovery cutoff (Doc. No. 25), in an effort to resolve the issues raised in		
28	the Ex Parte, as well as to address the recent arrest of Medeles.		

## Qase 1:24-cv-00471-KES-SKO Document 29 Filed 12/22/25 Page 2 of 5

- 3. Medeles was arrested in early October 2025 on charges of grand theft and preparing false evidence in *People of the State of California v. Rey Ariel Medeles*, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. F25907039, which arises from accusations that Medeles stole money from the police evidence locker. A pre-preliminary hearing in the case is currently set for March 2, 2026.
- 4. Medeles has a powerful incentive to invoke his Fifth Amendment right from compelled self-incrimination with regard to any deposition or trial testimony. Full testimony from Medeles would be important to each of the parties' respective police practices experts in forming their opinions. The police practices expert for Medeles would additionally require discussion with Medeles to properly form opinions.
- 5. Many of the officers whose depositions Plaintiff noticed, including defendant Gutierrez, will also likely have to testify as part of the criminal trial.
- 6. The Parties have conducted extensive written discovery so far in this action and the parties have all noticed and re-noticed depositions in an effort to accommodate availability of counsel and their clients. The previously noticed depositions were removed from calendar so the parties could pursue mediation on November 22, 2025, with the intention of coordinating schedules to reset the depositions if the mediation was unsuccessful.
- 7. The mediation on November 22, 2025 was unsuccessful. On December 15, 2025, Plaintiff's counsel—Kevin Little—represented that he had been in trial in Kern County from November 24, 2025 through December 12, 2025.
- 8. As this matter is factually similar to and involves the same witnesses as Eastern District of California Case Nos. 1:24-cv-00471-KES-SKO (*Daniel Guzman Vela v. City of Fresno, et. al.*) and 1:23-cv-01471-JLT-SKO (*Luis F. Rodriguez v. City of Fresno, et. al.*), the Parties have agreed to depose the above-identified only once each across all three of these matters.
- 9. As such, the Parties jointly stipulate and respectfully request that this Court modify the existing Scheduling Order as specified below, or to a date as soon thereafter as is convenient for the Court.

1	10. This is the third request by the Parties for an Order to modify the existing		
2	Scheduling Order.		
3	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
4	NOW, THEREFORE, in light of the foregoing Good Cause, the Parties hereby stipulate		
5	and respectfully request a modification of the Court's Order Continuing Discovery Cutoff Dates		
6	(Doc. No. 23) and the case schedule (Doc. No. 20), as follows:		
7	1. The Non-Expert Discovery Deadline currently set for December 15, 2025, is		
8	continued by six months to June 15, 2026, except as to Defendants Rey Medeles and Gustavo		
9	Gutierrez for whom the Non-Expert Discovery Deadline is stayed pending the conclusion of the		
10	criminal matter <i>People of the State of California v. Rey Ariel Medeles</i> , Fresno County Superior		
11	Court Case No. F25907039.		
12	2. The remaining dates set forth in the Order Continuing Discovery Cutoff Dates		
13	(Doc. No. 23) and the case schedule (Doc. No. 20), including expert disclosures, are vacated		
14	and will be stayed pending the conclusion of the criminal matter <i>People of the State of</i>		
15	California v. Rey Ariel Medeles, Fresno County Superior Court Case No. F25907039.		
16	3. A Status Conference be scheduled on June 17, 2026, or as soon thereafter as the		
17	Court and parties are available, to evaluate the appropriateness of setting a Scheduling		
18	Conference depending on the status of the underlying criminal case.		
19	4. A Joint Status Conference Statement will be electronically filed by the parties 7		
20	days prior to the Status Conference date and will be emailed in Word format to		
21	skoorders@caed.uscourts.gov.		
22			
23	Dated: December 19, 2025		
24	WEAKLEY & ARENDT A Professional Corporation		
25			
26	By: <u>/s/ Brande L. Gustafson</u> James D. Weakley		
27	Brande L. Gustafson Attorneys for Defendant Rey Medeles		
28			

Stipulation & Order to Extend Non-Expert Discovery & Stay of Civil Action During the Pending Criminal Action

Document 29

Filed 12/22/25

Page 4 of 5

Case 1:24-cv-00471-KES-SKO

## Case 1:24-cv-00471-KES-SKO Document 29 Filed 12/22/25 Page 5 of 5

1	4. After receiving notice of	the termination/completion of the criminal proceedings	
2	the Court SHALL re-set the Mandatory Scheduling Conference in this civil case to establish th		
3	remaining hearing dates and deadlines in this action.		
4	Temaining hearing dates and deadines i	ii tiiis action.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	Dated: December 22, 2025	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
7	Determoer 22, 2025	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8			
9			
0			
11			
2			
3			
4			
15			
16			
17			
20			
20			
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$			
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
26   27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
رة (			